

ANNEX 2: OVERVIEW OF INDICATORS SOCIAL COHESION

Hierarchy of Objectives	Indicators Baseline & Endline	Indicators adapted for evaluation	Means of Verification
<p>Output:</p> <p>Increased contact between PRS and PRL beneficiaries</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – # of facilitated interactions between PRS and PRL beneficiaries <hr/> – % increase of beneficiaries reporting contact between PRS and PRL beneficiaries <hr/> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – # of facilitated interactions between PRS and PRL beneficiaries <hr/> – % of project participants recalling contact between PRS and PRL beneficiaries at project beginning <hr/> – % of project participants reporting contact between PRS and PRL beneficiaries at project end 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Attendance sheets to verify that activity has taken place <hr/> – Survey
<p>Lower Outcome:</p> <p>Increased positive communication between PRS and PRL beneficiaries</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – % increase of positive communication situations between PRS and PRL beneficiaries <hr/> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – % of project participants recalling positive communication situations between PRS and PRL beneficiaries at project beginning <hr/> – % of project participants reporting positive communication situations between PRS and PRL beneficiaries at project end 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Survey – FGD or KII for triangulation and understanding the quality of change – FGD or KII to assess contribution

ANNEX 2: OVERVIEW OF INDICATORS SOCIAL COHESION

<p>Intermediate Outcome: Increased respect between PRS and PRL communities <i>(tbc beneficiaries or communities?)</i></p>	<p>– % of project participants reporting increased respect between PRS and PRL communities</p> <hr/>	<p>– % of project participants recalling respect between PRS and PRL communities at project beginning</p> <hr/> <p>– % of project participants recalling respect between PRS and PRL communities at project end</p>	<p>– Survey – FGD or KII for triangulation and understanding the quality of change – FGD or KII to assess contribution</p>
<p>Higher Outcome: Increased trust between PRS and PRL communities <i>(tbc beneficiaries or communities?)</i></p>	<p>– % of project participants reporting increased respect between PRS and PRL communities</p> <hr/>	<p>– % of project participants recalling trust between PRS and PRL communities at project beginning</p> <hr/> <p>– % of project participants reporting trust between PRS and PRL communities at project end</p>	<p>– Survey – FGD or KII for triangulation and understanding the quality of change – FGD of KII to assess contribution</p>
<p>Higher Outcome: Increased self-perceived security <i>(tbc beneficiaries or communities?)</i></p>	<p>– % of project participants reporting increased self-perceived security</p>	<p>– % of project participants recalling self-perceived security at project beginning</p> <hr/> <p>– % of project participants reporting self-perceived security at project end</p>	<p>– Survey – FGD or KII for triangulation and understanding the quality of change – FGD of KII to assess contribution</p>