Assessment grid for the technical evaluation of tenders for contracts up to the value of EUR 20,000 (CV, concept + price)



	Orace	vicational unit		Droins	at titla			017 046-	- Daimet					Doto	Navahar C 20	220
Second Procession Process	Organisational unit			Projec	t title GIZ Office Beirut							Date			Noveber 6, 2020	
Contract Contract			Mrs. Elisabeth Girrbach									PN		PN	18.9207.4-001.00	
Enter tenderer 1													Contract no	02260264		
Charlon Victor	N35E33UI			-									I	Contract no.	03300304	
Criterion Viciping Point Assessment Point				Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tenderer 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5		Enter tenderer 6		
1. Expert :				Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
1.1.1 General qualifications	1.	Qualifications of pro	posed staff (as per the rules and				(**************************************	(=/(=/	(11101111111111111111111111111111111111	, ,=,,-,	((=/(=/	((=/(=/	((=)(=)
1.1.1 General qualifications	1.1	Expert 1:														
Februarie 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1														İ		
1.7 offessional experience 1.5				10												
1.1.3 Language skills		- Professional experie	nce													
1.1.3 Language skills	1.1.2	.2 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country				ì								ĺ		
Subtotal for 1.1																
1.2 Expert 2:		Enter languages		15												
1.2.1 Ceneral qualifications	Subtotal for 1.1		40		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Education	1.2	Expert 2:														
Professional experience Professional exp	1.2.1	General qualifications														
1.2.2 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country 1.2.3 Language skills 1.2.3		- Education														
Language skills Center languages Center lang																
Enter languages Subtotal for 1.2 Appropriateness of the proposed concept (according to the rules and criteria in the Terms of Reference) 2. Appropriateness of the proposed concept (according to the rules and criteria in the Terms of Reference) 2.1 Number of employees associated to the firm 15			on/knowledge of the country													
Subtotal for 1.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																
Appropriate																
2. Appropriateness of the proposed concept (according to the rules and criteria in the Terms of Reference) 2.1 Number of employees associated to the firm 2.2 company's registration documents 2.3 Number of clients served in the last 3 years + 2.4 reference check 2.5 Company's past experience and performance/NGOs 15 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Subtotal for 1.2		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
2.1 Number of employees associated to the firm 15	Total for section 1		40		0		0		0		0		0		0	
2.2 company's registration documents 15	2.	Appropriateness of t	he proposed concept (according	to the rules an	d criteria in th	ne Terms of Refe	rence)									
2.2 company's registration documents 15	2.1	Number of employees	associated to the firm	15												
2.3 Number of clients served in the last 3 years + 15																
2.4. reference check Image: company's past experience and performance/NGOs 15 Image: company's past experience and performance/NGOs 10 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Number of clients serv</td><td>ved in the last 3 years +</td><td>15</td><td></td><td>İ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>İ</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Number of clients serv	ved in the last 3 years +	15		İ								İ		
Total for section 2 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																
Technical assessment 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.5	Company's past expe	rience and performance/NGOs	15												
Technical assessment in % Outerall assessment in % Overall assessment in % Overall assessment in % Overall assessment in % = (assessment score for the concept / highest score for the concept) x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid - tenderer's financial bid) x 30 % Specific advantages/risks (see supplementary sheet)	Total	for section 2		60		0		0		0		0		0		0
3. Total for financial assessment Overall assessment in % = (assessment score for the concept / highest score for the concept) x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid - tenderer's financial bid) x 30 % Specific advantages/risks (see supplementary sheet)		Technical assessme	nt	100		0		0		0		0		0		0
Overall assessment in % = (assessment score for the concept / highest score for the concept) x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid - tenderer's financial bid) x 30 % Specific advantages/risks (see supplementary sheet)		Technical assessmen	t in %			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
= (assessment score for the concept / highest score for the concept) x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid - tenderer's financial bid) x 30 % Specific advantages/risks (see supplementary sheet)	3.	Total for financial as	sessment													
Specific advantages/risks (see supplementary sheet)																
				e concept) x 35	+ (assessn	nent score for qua	lifications of st	aff : highest score	for qualification	ons of staff) x 35 %	+ (lowest fina	ncial bid - tender	er's financial bi	d) x 30 %		
Rank	Speci	fic advantages/risks	(see supplementary sheet)													
			Rank													

I hereby declare that I have carried out this assessment independently and to the best of my knowledge and belief. I will keep the data confidential and will not pass on any information regarding the current assessment procedure.